

RAJEEV CHANDRASEKHAR MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT RAJYA SABHA

Member of Standing Committee on Information Technology
Member of Consultative Committee on Finance
Member of Parliamentary Forum on Youth
Co-Chairman, Vigilance & Monitoring Committee, Bangalore Urban District

24 January, 2011

Dear Dr. Joshi,

This is with regard to the recent statements by Shri Kapil Sibal, Hon'ble Minister of Communications & IT and Shri Montek Singh Ahluwalia, Dy. Chairman, Planning Commission, with regards to the fact that the 2G spectrum scam has resulted in zero loss to the Exchequer, that DoT has followed TRAI Recommendations, and that consumer interest is more important than Exchequer revenue and development of the telecom sector.

In this regard, each of the claims made by both these gentlemen have been duly evaluated and found wanting, and in fact, contradict TRAI Recommendations, UASL license, Government policy and the Tenth Five Year Plan.

I had written a letter to PM on 11 January 2011, following Mr. Sibal's press conference, cautioning him on the implications of this press conference, including that of undermining the investigation under progress. The Supreme Court has also since commented similarly.

I would not have considered challenging the claims of Mr. Sibal and Mr. Ahluwalia, except for the fact that they chose to undermine the CAG, knowing fully well that the CAG cannot respond with a detailed Public defense, and also making arguments that have already been made in the Supreme Court. The country deserves to know the truth and not get misled by smooth talking and articulate spin.

So, I have chosen to research and analyze Mr. Sibal's contentions and the subsequent statements by the Dy. Chairman of Planning Commission. After detailed research and reading of over 1600 pages of various documents, I have prepared a presentation that analyzes Mr. Sibal's claims in his Press Conference.

The conclusion purely based on evidence is clear for all to see. It lays bare the claims :

- a) That the DoT has followed the TRAI Recommendations (a claim made to me in Parliament by the then Minister as well)
- b) That the CAG report is based on assumptions There are no assumptions used by the CAG. Only evidence.

www.rajeev.in



I am enclosing herewith the detailed analysis and annexures for your action. I am available for any further discussions or explanations that you might seek emerging out these documents. If you so desire, I would also be happy to depose before the PAC in this regard.

Sincerely,

rajeev chandrasekhar

Dr. M. M. JoshiMember of Parliament &
Chairman – Public Accounts Committee
6, Raisina Road
New Delhi